

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/621,623 07/16/2003 Ben-Zion Dolitzky 1662/60707 2588 **EXAMINER** 26646 7590 01/25/2006 **KENYON & KENYON LLP** STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE ONE BROADWAY PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** NEW YORK, NY 10004 1626

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/621,623	DOLITZKY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Oc	ctober 2005.		
, <u> </u>		action is non-final.		
·	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.			
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 11/5/03&6/11/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	•	

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election without traverse of Group II (claims 11-18) in the reply filed on October 28, 2005 is acknowledged.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 28, 2005.

Art Unit: 1626

Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has considered the Information

Disclosure Statement(s) filed on November 5, 2003 and

June 11, 2004 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 16, since toluene is not a aliphatic hydrocarbon, the expression "aromatic or aliphatic hydrocarbon" makes the claim unclear.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-16 and 18 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et
al. {WO 99/38847} in view of Le Bourdonnec et al.
{Journal of Medicinal Chemistry (2000), Vol. 43, pages
2685-2697}.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Applicants claim a process of making irbesartan. Anderson et al. teach a process of making irbesartan (pages 5-7).

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

Application/Control Number: 10/621,623

Art Unit: 1626

The difference between the process of Anderson et al. and the process instantly claimed is the use of analogous reactants. Additionally, Le Bourdonnec et al. (page 2687, Scheme 2,) teaches the interchangeability of using a cyano reactant (compound 23) and a triphenylmethyl reactant (compound 19) and also the reaction conditions found in steps b and c in a similar process.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

The use of analogous reactants in a known process is prima facie obvious. <u>In re Durden</u>, 226 USPQ 359 (1985). Once the general reaction has been shown to be old, the burden is on Applicants to present reasons or authority for believing that a group on the starting material would take part in or affect the basic reaction and thus alter the nature of the product or the operability of the process. In looking at the instant claimed process as a whole, as stated in *In re*

Application/Control Number: 10/621,623

Art Unit: 1626

Ochiai, 37 USPQ 2d 1127 (1995), the claimed process would have been suggested to one skilled in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/621,623 Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600

January 23, 2006